

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MYRIAM ZAYAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADRIENNE MCCOY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C24-621 LK

ORDER

Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in the above-entitled action. (Dkt. # 1.) In the IFP application, Plaintiff states that she has not been employed since 2019 and cannot work due to her adult son’s disability. (*Id.* at 1.) Plaintiff reports that her only source of income in the past twelve months is \$17,500 from a settlement, that she has no money on hand, in checking, or in savings, and has \$850 in monthly expenses. (*Id.* at 1-2.) However, Plaintiff’s IFP application does not describe the types of each monthly expense (*e.g.*, housing, transportation, utilities, *etc.*) and does not explain how she pays any of her expenses. (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff further states that she is hesitant of publicly disclosing private information in her IFP application. (*Id.*)

1 The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed IFP upon completion of a
2 proper affidavit of indigence. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). “To qualify for *in forma pauperis* status,
3 a civil litigant must demonstrate both that the litigant is unable to pay court fees and that the
4 claims he or she seeks to pursue are not frivolous.” *Ogunsalu v. Nair*, 117 F. App’x 522, 523
5 (9th Cir. 2004), *cert. denied*, 544 U.S. 1051 (2005). To meet the first prong of this test, a litigant
6 must show that he or she “cannot because of his [or her] poverty pay or give security for the
7 costs and still be able to provide him[or her]self and dependents with the necessities of life.”
8 *Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948) (internal alterations
9 omitted).

10 Plaintiff has not provided the Court with sufficient information to make an affirmative
11 determination on her IFP application. Under these circumstances, Plaintiff should not be
12 authorized to proceed IFP unless she addresses the deficiencies noted above. To the extent
13 Plaintiff fears public disclosure of her private information, the Court directs Plaintiff to Local
14 Court Rule (“LCR”) 5(g), which outlines the circumstances and procedures for filing documents
15 under seal. *See* LCR 5(g) (available at <https://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/local-rules-and-orders>
16 (last accessed May 8, 2024)).

17 Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause by **May 15, 2024**, why the Court
18 should not recommend her IFP application be denied. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this
19 Order to Plaintiff and to the Honorable Lauren King.

20 Dated this 8th day of May, 2024.

21 

22 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
23 United States Magistrate Judge